

From: DR
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 12/8/01 10:05pm
Subject: make windows open source

make windows open source, so that anyone who runs it has the choice of how it interacts with what hardware/software they have, at the moment there is no choice and users are dependant on less than reliable operating systems (windows) to deal with... making windows open source opens up many possibilities and does not kill microsoft. They can still support an open source operating system, and the people using it will not be dictated to how microsoft thinks the way they should be interacting with it. every other OS out there is open source. and it promotes freedom for the end user. No longer would the blue screen of death be something that they would just "have to deal with".

what made me make this statement was after seeing the cost of XP. In Australia (and im sure same in US) the ridiculous cost of this less than adequate OS is more than half the cost of a decent computer, Is that freedom of choice for the user that doesnt now much better? thats a monopoly. This has gone further than the days when MS decided to rear end Netscape by bundling IE into windows , and im sure the cost of XP solidifies that.

And what has microsoft got by being forced to spend money of less than fortunate students? Good PR. that is not a solution that will stop MS doing what they are doing, it will just amplify their monopoly. Forgive me if i am wrong, but isnt its the governments role to be helping under priveleged children with funding?? MS does its punishment by forking out a small sum of their empire , and continues to screw the rest of the software dev community..

Count how many applications become superceded by XP.. winzip is one of them. Wasnt this the reason the DOJ took MS to court for in the first place? for anti competetiveness?

Kind Regards

Duane Reilly
Unix Administrator